

1 **Supplementary Information – Heteroscedasticity modelling**

2 **Methods**

3 We used the LME procedure in R software to verify the normality and homogeneous variance of
4 residuals and random effects (Ihaka & Gentleman, 1996; Pinheiro & Bates, 2002). No evidence
5 of heterogeneity in variance of random family effects was found. When heterogeneity in the
6 variance of residuals was detected, we used variance functions to model heteroscedasticity, tested
7 the fit of heteroscedastic models and compared the fit of these models with homoscedastic
8 models using likelihood ratio tests (Pinheiro & Bates, 2002).

9 **Results**

10 EFFECTS OF MATERNAL FOOD AVAILABILITY

11 We only detected heterogeneity in variance of residuals when modelling the effects of maternal
12 food availability on SVL at hatching. Variance of residuals was heterogeneous between years and
13 sites (respectively, $\chi^2 = 89.94$, $df = 9$, $P < 0.0001$; $\chi^2 = 22.06$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$).

14 EFFECTS OF DENSITY MANIPULATION AND MATERNAL CORTICOSTERONE LEVEL

15 We only found heterogeneity in variance of residuals between years and between corticosterone
16 treatments when analysing the effects of prenatal population density and maternal corticosterone
17 level on SVL at hatching. Variance of the residuals was lower in 2001 than in 2000 ($\chi^2 = 32.68$,
18 $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$, ratio = 0.79 [0.73-0.86] 95%CI) and higher for corticosterone than for placebo
19 treatments ($\chi^2 = 17.09$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.0001$, ratio = 1.18 [1.09-1.27]).

20 EFFECTS OF HABITAT HUMIDITY AND MATERNAL GESTATION CONDITIONS

21 We found that variance of residuals for body size changed significantly with site and year when
22 investigating both prenatal effects of habitat humidity and maternal effects of gestation
23 conditions (basking regime and humidity in the laboratory) on body length at hatching. Variance
24 was lower in humid sites than in dry sites during both study years (1996: ratio to the dry site in
25 1997 = 0.69 [0.61-0.78] 95%CI, 1997: ratio = 0.74 [0.66-0.84]), and variance in humid sites was
26 lower in 1996 than in 1997 (1996: ratio = 0.65 [0.57-0.73]).

27 **Discussion: variance in body size**

28 Although most studies focus on determining the mean of phenotypic traits, a change in variance
29 around the mean may be just as important, as it can strongly influence ecological and
30 evolutionary trajectories (Merilä & Sheldon, 2000). For example, genetic and non-genetic
31 variation in life history traits can change in direct response to the environment (Hoffmann &
32 Merilä, 1999), leading to different heritabilities for the same trait in different environments
33 (Merilä, 1997). Using statistical tools to model a heterogeneity of variance (Pinheiro & Bates,
34 2002), we investigated determinants of body size variance in juvenile common lizards.

35 In our analyses, changes in the variance within and between families can be interpreted in
36 the following way (Hoffmann & Merilä, 1999): (1) a high variance within families (a high
37 variance of the residuals) suggests a high “non-genetic” variability that will reduce the
38 heritability of body size, while (2) a high variance among families (a high variance of the random
39 family effect) suggests on the contrary a stronger influence of “genetic” factors (or uncontrolled
40 prenatal factors). We found no significant change in the interfamilial variation in body size
41 between the various environmental conditions examined in our study, suggesting that genetic

42 factors (or uncontrolled prenatal factors) are similarly expressed over a broad range of
43 environments. However, we found that both high maternal corticosterone level and prenatal
44 habitat dryness increased intrafamilial variance in offspring body size. These factors might act as
45 environmental stressors that increase development instability of body size in the common lizard.
46 No similar change in the variance of growth rate was detected, suggesting that variance of body
47 size at hatching and variance of growth rate are under different mechanisms of control.

48 **References**

- 49 Hoffmann, A.A. & Merilä, J. 1999. Heritable variation and evolution under favourable and
50 unfavourable conditions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 14: 96-101.
- 51 Ihaka, R. & Gentleman, G. 1996. R: A Language for Data Analysis and Graphics. *J. Comput.*
52 *Graph. Stat.* 5: 299-314.
- 53 Merilä, J. 1997. Expression of genetic variation in body size of the collared flycatcher under
54 different environmental conditions. *Evolution* 51: 526-536.
- 55 Merilä, J. & Sheldon, B.C. 2000. Lifetime reproductive success and heritability in nature. *Am.*
56 *Nat.* 155: 301-310.
- 57 Pinheiro, J.C. & Bates, D.M. 2002. *Mixed-effect models in S and S-plus*. Springer, New York.

58

59