

1 **Supplementary Material**

2 **Appendix S1. Detailed demographic structure of initial experimental populations.** The

3 sex ratio of the yearling and juvenile lizards was hold constant (1:1). In all populations six
4 yearling females and six yearling males were released. All yearling and all adult male lizards
5 were released together (Yearlings: June 7th, Adult males: July 11th), and the newborn juveniles
6 and their mother were released two days after hatching. The sex of the juveniles was
7 determined by counting the number of the ventral scales (Lecomte *et al.* 1992) and the
8 juvenile sex ratio was kept as constant as possible. MB consisted of 21.3 ± 0.5 SD juvenile
9 males and 21.8 ± 0.7 SD juvenile females and FB populations consisted of 21.0 ± 0.6 SD
10 juvenile males and 22.3 ± 1.1 SD juvenile females. There were no statistical differences in
11 juvenile sex ratio between MB and FB populations ($F_{1,10} = 0.03$, $P = 0.86$). At release, the sex
12 ratio of the population including all age classes was biased towards males in MB ($SR = 0.56 \pm$
13 0.004 SE) and it was biased towards females in FB ($SR = 0.42 \pm 0.004$ SE) populations ($N =$
14 12 , $F_{1,10} = 568.04$, $P < 0.001$). Lizards were able to disperse using a 20 m long dispersal
15 corridor, which ended in a pitfall trap (for details see Le Galliard *et al.* 2003a). Dispersing
16 lizards were collected daily and introduced on the same day into a new, to them unknown
17 population of the same sex ratio treatment. By this procedure we prevented the evening out of
18 the sex ratio bias due to potential sex ratio biased dispersal. Age structure, juvenile and
19 yearling sex ratios, and population density were similar between treatments and correspond to
20 the natural structure in populations from which the lizards originated (Massot *et al.* 1992).

21

22 **Appendix S2. Demographic structure of experimental populations during the second** 23 **year of the study.**

24 We released all alive females ($N = 148$) and their offspring ($N = 551$) together with other
25 lizards from all age and sex classes into new populations with a female-biased sex ratio. The

26 female-biased sex ratio was chosen to mimic the long-term mean sex ratio experienced by
27 female lizards in the wild. We released these other lizards together with the experimental
28 groups to guarantee similar population structures among enclosures and years. Within each
29 enclosure, the offspring sex ratio was held constant (1:1) and the proportion of offspring
30 originating from male-biased and female-biased populations was similar (Cote et al. 2007; Le
31 Galliard et al. 2007). In brief, populations were initiated with 10 adult males, 18 adult
32 females, 12 yearlings (6 males and 6 females), and 103.3 ± 3.08 SE juveniles. These patterns
33 corresponded to the age and sex structure and to the density of natural populations from
34 which the introduced individuals originated (Massot *et al.* 1992).

35

36 **Appendix S3. Long-term study of growth patterns.** In June 1999 we captured pregnant
37 female lizards in three neighbouring populations located in the Cévennes mountainous range,
38 Southern France (44°30' N, 3°45' E, 1420 m a.s.l.). The females ($N = 147$) were brought to
39 the Research Station at Foljuif (Seine-et-Marne, France, 48°17'N, 2°41'E) and kept in
40 individual cages until they gave birth. Females were maintained under standardized
41 conditions (water, temperature, light) as described previously (Le Galliard *et al.* 2003b).
42 Three days following hatching, offspring ($N = 846$) were released into 11 outdoor enclosures
43 (10 x 10m and located in the same meadow as all other enclosures used for the here presented
44 experiments) where they were monitored until May 2003. These enclosures were similar to
45 those used in the main study and they were also extended with dispersal corridors (see Le
46 Galliard *et al.* (2003a) for a description of outdoor facilities). The population density in those
47 enclosures ranged from 20 adults and sub-adults in a low-density group (5 enclosures) to 40
48 adults and sub-adults in a high-density group (6 enclosures). Each May-June following
49 release, lizards were recaptured and their body size (snout-vent length, SVL) was measured to
50 study body growth trajectories. This allowed us to assess growth trajectories for 42 lizards
51 until the age of three to four years. Since population density did not influence the long-term

52 growth trajectories, we pooled data from the low-density and high-density groups and present
53 the results of this analysis in figure 1.

54

55 **Appendix S4. Statistical analysis of growth trajectories.** We modelled body growth using
56 the non-linear van Bertalanffy growth model. This model has three parameters and writes like
57 $SVL = SVL_{\max} + (SVL_0 - SVL_{\max}) * \exp(-\exp(\log k) * \text{age})$, where SVL_{\max} is the asymptotic body
58 size, SVL_0 is the body size at hatching and $\log k$ is the logarithm of the exponential growth
59 rate. The van Bertalanffy growth model was fitted with the *nlme* procedure in R 2.4.0
60 (Pinheiro & Bates 2002). The initial model included sex effects and random individual
61 variation on the three parameters. The best fitting model was chosen by backward elimination
62 of non-significant terms following (Pinheiro & Bates 2002).

63

64 **Appendix S5. Statistical analysis of the intensity of sexual selection.** We investigated
65 whether the standardized decomposed fitness components were correlated using simple
66 regressions. We estimated the importance of each multiplicative fitness component for total
67 fitness using a multivariate regression with the standardized number of one-year old offspring
68 as dependent variable and the standardized decomposed fitness components as covariates
69 (Conner *et al.* 1996). Since the assumptions were not fulfilled for all simple regressions and
70 for some of the multiple regressions, we transformed the variables to get meaningful
71 significance tests. However, untransformed relative fitness was used for the estimation of the
72 selection gradients to preserve the evolutionary interpretation of the gradients and to make the
73 selection gradients comparable between populations (Conner *et al.* 1996). Presented are the
74 means and standard errors of the standardized selection gradients per sex-ratio treatment
75 (results section, Figure 2). To understand whether the standardized selection gradients were
76 significantly different between sex-ratio treatments we applied one-way ANOVAs. In the case
77 that the normality or homoscedasticity assumption was not fulfilled we applied a Wilcoxon-

78 signed ranks test. In figure 2 we present means and standard errors of the standardized
 79 selection gradients per sex-ratio treatment if there were significant differences between sex-
 80 ratio treatments, otherwise we present means and standard errors calculated over all
 81 populations. The significant selection gradient between body size and mean juvenile survival
 82 was positive in 1-33% of the populations. Since the selection gradients of the remaining
 83 populations were negative, the selection gradient averaged over all experimental populations
 84 was negative. The difference in the direction between the significant and the averaged
 85 selection gradient is indicated by (+). In all other cases the direction of the significant
 86 selection gradients was the same as for the selection gradient averaged over all populations.

87

88 **Appendix S6. Statistical analysis of survival selection acting on male body size and body**
 89 **condition.**

90 We analysed survival selection acting on male SVL between release (July 2002) and recapture
 91 (May 2003). We used survival as dependent variable in a generalized linear mixed model
 92 (GLIMMIX procedure) and added ASR treatment and age class as fixed factors, population
 93 nested in ASR treatment as a random factor, and SVL as a covariate. There was no survival
 94 selection acting on male body size (Table 1) . All interactions were non-significant ($P > 0.3$).

95

96 Table 1: Survival selection acting on male SVL.

97

98	Parameter	df	test statistic	P
99	SVL	1,423	$F = 1.46$	0.227
100	Age class	2,423	$F = 0.18$	0.839
101	ASR treatment	1,10	$F = 0.11$	0.744
102	Population (ASR treatment)		$z = 1.68$	0.047

103

104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121

References

Conner, J.K., Rush, S. & Jennetten, P. (1996) Measurements of natural selection on floral traits in wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) .1. Selection through lifetime female fitness. *Evolution*, 50, 1127-1136.

Le Galliard, J.F., Ferrière, R. & Clobert, J. (2003a) Mother-offspring interactions affect natal dispersal in a lizard. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 270, 1163-1169.

Le Galliard, J.F., Le Bris, M. & Clobert, J. (2003b) Timing of locomotor impairment and shift in thermal preferences during gravidity in a viviparous lizard. *Funct. Ecol.*, 17, 877-885.

Lecomte, J., Clobert, J. & Massot, M. (1992) Sex identification in juveniles of *Lacerta vivipara*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 13, 21-25.

Massot, M., Clobert, J., Pilorge, T., Lecomte, J. & Barbault, R. (1992) Density dependence in the common lizard - demographic consequences of a density manipulation. *Ecology*, 73, 1742-1756

Pinheiro, J.C. & Bates, D.M. (2002) *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus*. Springer-Verlag, New York.